



Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan

2021 Hospital Pay-for-Performance Program

Peer Groups 1 through 4

January 2021





Table of contents

Program overview	3
What's new in 2021	3
Payment methodology	4
Prequalifying condition	5
Collaborative Quality Initiatives (40%)	7
<i>Individual CQI weights</i>	7
<i>Required CQIs</i>	7
<i>CQI data abstraction and reporting funding support</i>	8
<i>Blue Cross supported</i>	8
<i>Payment schedule</i>	8
Michigan Value Collaborative (10%)	9
<i>2021 measure expectations</i>	9
<i>MVC bonus point</i>	10
<i>Timeline of the 2021 MVC-based P4P performance measure</i>	10
<i>MVC support for hospitals and physician organization</i>	11
All-cause readmissions domain (30%)	11
<i>2021 P4P readmission rate performance</i>	11
Health information exchange (20%)	13
Performance scoring multiplier methodology	15
Hospital CQI programs	17
CQI scoring method	18
CQI performance index scorecards	20
General CQI participation requirements	20
Hospital MVC calculations	22
Health information exchange measures	24
HIE measures 1, 2 and 3: ADT, common key service, exchange CCDA, and SW Lab results	24
Measure 1: Maintain ADT data quality conformance with inclusion of the common key -7 points	24
Measure 2: Maintain CCDA data conformance for inpatient, observation and ED visits; and transmit all ambulatory CCDA data – 6 points	25
Measure 3: Transmit all ambulatory CCDA data – 2 points	27
Measure 4: Maintain Statewide Lab Results data quality conformance – 2 points	27
Measure 5: participate in one or more HIE pilot projects – 3 points	27



Program overview

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan’s Hospital Pay-for-Performance program recognizes short-term acute-care hospitals in Peer Groups 1 through 4 for achievements and improvements in quality and population-health management. In 2021, the program will pay hospitals, in aggregate, an additional 5% of statewide inpatient and outpatient operating payments — over \$200 million statewide.

The P4P program structure and measures are developed with input from hospitals through the P4P Measurement Workgroup. Hospital performance on most program measures is evaluated on a calendar-year basis and the P4P rate a hospital earns, based on its 2021 P4P program performance, will be applied to its inpatient and outpatient operating payments, starting October 1, 2022.

To help hospitals better assess their performance across all program measures throughout the program year, Blue Cross will continue to provide hospitals with quarterly, **informational** P4P performance reports into 2021. P4P participating hospitals also have the opportunity to request patient-level readmissions information to help assist readmission reduction efforts.

What’s new in 2021

The 2021 P4P program year will closely follow the structure, performance measurement and incentive framework of the 2020 program year, with two exceptions:

- the Cost Efficiency component has been retired
- 5 points have been added to the HIE component

2021 program components and weights	
Prequalifying condition	0%
Collaborative Quality Initiatives	40%
Michigan Value Collaborative	10%
All-cause readmissions domain	30%
Health information exchange	20%



Payment methodology

The 2021 P4P program maintains that the statewide **aggregate** P4P payout is equal to the full 5% value of the program. Although some hospitals will earn a P4P rate less than 5%, some high-performing hospitals may earn P4P rates greater than 5%.¹

As introduced with the 2014 program year, the 2021 P4P program will continue to use the performance scoring multiplier concept to redistribute any remaining, unearned incentive dollars differentially within each program component. This allows the program to award a larger portion of unearned incentive to the highest-performing hospitals in each individual program domain.

Introduced in 2020, hospitals who participate in all CQIs for which they have been recruited will be eligible for a fixed-dollar bonus paid from the unearned incentive dollars within the CQI component. All other remaining unearned dollars will be paid based on the multiplier concept. The below chart provides the potential bonus by hospital, depending on the number of CQIs in which they participate:

Number of eligible or participating CQIs	Potential unearned dollar fixed bonus
1-4 CQIs	\$20,000
5-9 CQIs	\$50,000
10 or more CQIs	\$75,000

For hospitals to be eligible for any additional P4P multiplier dollars, they must meet **one** of the following criteria:

- CMS hospital star rating of at least 2
- Leapfrog hospital safety grade of at least a C

Appendix A provides a more detailed explanation of this performance scoring multiplier concept and a mock distribution of unearned incentive back to P4P participating hospitals.

¹If a hospital's reimbursement arrangement doesn't comply with the formula established within Blue Cross' *Participating Hospital Agreement*, its payout is limited to 4% of its inpatient operating payment only. Non-model hospitals will also not be eligible to receive any unearned incentive.



Prequalifying condition

All P4P participating hospitals must first meet a patient-safety prequalifying condition to be eligible to participate and receive incentives for performance within the P4P program. Hospital compliance with this prequalifying condition is determined by CEO attestation due by **March 31, 2022**.

To successfully meet this condition, hospitals must fully comply with the following three requirements:

1. Conduct regular patient Walk Rounds with hospital leadership
2. Assess and improve patient safety performance by fully meeting one of the following options:
 - Complete and submit the National Quality Forum Safe Practices section of the *Leapfrog Hospital Survey* at least once every 18 months
 - Complete the Joint Commission Periodic Performance Review of National Patient Safety Goals at least once every 18 months
 - First established by The Joint Commission in 2002 to help accredited organizations target critical areas where safety can be improved
 - All Joint Commission-accredited health care organizations are surveyed for compliance with the requirements of the goals — or acceptable alternatives — as appropriate to the services the organization provides
 - Goals and requirements are re-evaluated each year and new NPSGs are announced in the year prior to their implementation
 - For more information, visit [National Patient Safety Goals*](#)
 - Review compliance with the Agency for Healthcare Research Patient Safety indicators at least once every 18 months
 - Set of indicators providing information on potential in-hospital complications and adverse events following surgeries, procedures and childbirth
 - Can be used to help hospitals identify potential adverse events that might need further study and provide the opportunity to assess the incidence of adverse events and in-hospital complications using administrative data found in the typical discharge record
 - For more information, download the [Patient Safety Indicators Brochure*](#)



- Participate in a federally qualified patient safety organization
 - Federally listed by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
 - Provides a secure environment to assist health care providers collect, aggregate and analyze data to identify and reduce safety risks, learn from errors and prevent future harm
 - For more information, visit [AHRQ PSO*](#)
- 3. Ensure results of the patient safety assessment and improvement activities are shared with the hospital's governing body and incorporated into a board-approved, multidisciplinary patient safety plan that is regularly reviewed and updated.

*Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan and Blue Care Network don't own or control this website.



Collaborative Quality Initiatives

40%

Hospitals can earn up to 40% of their P4P points based on performance across Blue Cross-supported CQIs.

Individual CQI weights

The CQI component of the P4P is weighted equally for all hospitals, regardless of the number of CQIs a hospital participates in. Therefore, hospitals participating in fewer CQIs will have a greater portion of their incentive allocated to each initiative, while hospitals participating in a greater number of CQIs will have a smaller portion allocated to each initiative. Hospitals eligible for and participating in more than 10 CQIs will be scored using only the top 10 individual CQI performance scores.

The following chart provides the weight per CQI based on the number of initiatives a hospital participates in:

Number of CQIs	Overall potential incentive	Potential incentive per CQI
1	40%	40%
2	40%	20%
3	40%	13.33%
4	40%	10%
5	40%	8%
6	40%	6.67%
7	40%	5.71%
8	40%	5%
9	40%	4.44%
10+	40%	4%

Required CQIs

In 2021, seven of the Blue Cross-sponsored CQIs have been categorized as “required” (see Appendix B). No new CQIs were added to the required CQI category for 2021, nor were any removed from the required category.



If your hospital is recruited to participate in a “required” CQI, but declines to participate, your hospital will forfeit the points attributed to that CQI. If your hospital is not recruited to participate in a “required” CQI, it will not be penalized for nonparticipation.

To find out whether your hospital is eligible for a specific CQI and its potential effect on your hospital’s 2021 P4P score, contact Blue Cross’ CQI administration team at CQIPrograms@bcbsm.com.

CQI data abstraction and reporting funding support

Blue Cross supported

Eligible hospitals participating in Blue Cross-supported CQIs may have the opportunity to receive annual funding support, **outside of the P4P**, for a portion of the costs they incur for data abstraction and reporting. These additional funds are designed to minimize potential cost barriers to participation, including abstracting medical record data, patient follow-up and reporting for Blue Cross, BCN, Medicare, Medicaid, uninsured and self-insured cases. The data abstraction funding model for each CQI is developed by its respective coordinating center with review by Blue Cross’ CQI administration.

In return for these additional funds, hospitals are expected to comply with all participation expectations agreed to upon joining the initiative (refer to Appendix B). These expectations and your hospital’s compliance are both determined by each CQI’s coordinating center and Blue Cross. Specific participation expectations for each CQI are available from the associated coordinating center.

Payment schedule

Hospitals who participate in CQIs are reimbursed 80% of the estimated costs associated with medical record data abstraction. These costs are determined using a data abstraction model specific to each CQI and projected hospital case volumes.

Previously, data abstraction costs were reimbursed via an annual payment. Beginning July 1, 2021 this methodology will be modified. Specifically, BCBSM will convert each hospital’s payment amount into a “per-unit” add-on to its payment rate, effective on the first day of each hospital’s own fiscal year. Subsequent updates to the per-unit amount will be reviewed with hospital contracting staff.



Michigan Value Collaborative

10%

The Michigan Value Collaborative (MVC) represents a partnership between 87 Michigan hospitals and 40 physician organizations (POs) which aims to improve the health of Michigan through sustainable high-value healthcare. Supported by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, MVC helps its members better understand their performance using robust multi-payer data, customized analytics, and at-the-elbow support. As part of this, MVC fosters a collaborative learning environment to enable providers to learn from one another in a cooperative, non-competitive space.

MVC provides hospital and physician organization leaders with claims-based utilization and episode payment data to empower local quality improvement activities, many of which are tied to the quality initiatives in Blue Cross' CQIs. MVC data supplies condition-specific, price-standardized, and risk-adjusted 30- and 90-day total episode payments for Blue Cross' PPO, Blue Cross' Medicare Advantage, Blue Care Network's HMO, BCN's Medicare Advantage and Medicare fee-for-service claims. In the future, MVC reports will also include Medicaid claims data, but as it stands, these will not be included in the 2021 measure.

2021 measure expectations

In late 2019, hospitals were asked to select two service lines to be measured for performance in 2020 and 2021. As before, for a hospital to be eligible to earn points for its selected service lines, it must first meet the quality requirement. This stipulates that its in-hospital mortality or related readmission rate for the selected service line is not statistically below the 10th percentile in the relevant performance year.

Hospitals meeting the quality requirement can earn up to five points for reducing total episode payments for each of its selected service lines. Improvement may be demonstrated by either year-over-year improvement within the hospital, or through absolute achievement compared to a cohort of their peers, as shown in the following table:

Points earned	Year-over-year improvement Baseline: hospital service line total episode payments for the most recently available 12-month period*	Absolute achievement Baseline: MVC cohort group service line total episode payments for the most recently available 12-month period
1 point	Baseline mean	50 th percentile
2 points	Baseline mean — $(5\% * \frac{A}{B} * C)$	60 th percentile
3 points	Baseline mean — $(10\% * \frac{A}{B} * C)$	70 th percentile



4 points	Baseline mean — $(15\% * \frac{A}{B} * C)$	80 th percentile
5 points	Baseline mean — $(20\% * \frac{A}{B} * C)$	90 th percentile

*Hospital mean represented by A. MVC mean represented by B. MVC winsorized standard deviation represented by C.

MVC bonus point

A hospital may be eligible for a bonus point if two conditions are met. First, a hospital’s mean episode payment does not increase from the baseline year. Second, all hospitals choosing that service line within the same cohort decrease their aggregate average episode payment by five percent or greater. The purpose of the bonus point is to encourage hospitals to reduce payments through collaboration, rather than competition.

MVC participation points

With the understanding that the performance impact of COVID-19 has not been identical across all MVC member hospitals, the Coordinating Center will also be introducing two new ways to earn participation-based bonus points in 2021. Attendance at both MVC semi-annual meetings will earn participants one bonus point and undertaking a virtual site visit with the MVC Coordinating Center will also result in an additional bonus point being awarded.

Please note that the maximum points a hospital may receive for the MVC measure is 10, even if the hospital is eligible for the bonus point and participation-based points. Please refer to Appendix D for an example of the MVC score calculation. A detailed description of the 2021 performance-based measure can be found in the MVC Component of the BCBSM P4P Program [Technical Document](#).

Timeline of the 2021 MVC-based P4P performance measure

	2021 P4P program
Baseline period	CY 2018
Performance period	CY 2020
Data analysis and claims adjudication	CY 2021



MVC support for hospitals and physician organizations

The MVC Coordinating Center hosts a series of virtual workgroups based on input from its hospital and physician organization (PO) partners. The primary goal of these workgroups is to provide hospital and PO leaders with a highly accessible platform to share best practices and challenges facing institutions throughout the state of Michigan. The ideas and strategies outlined in these discussions also serve as a foundation and framework for collaborative learning and best practice sharing at MVC meetings.

The MVC Coordinating Center will also continue its work to improve the utility of the MVC data registry website, disseminate hospital and PO specific performance reports, offer custom reports as requested, facilitate virtual regional networking events, undertake virtual hospital and PO virtual site visits, and host semi-annual meetings to provide a forum for the sharing of best practices and additional insights.

All-cause readmissions domain

30%

In 2021, P4P participating hospitals will have the opportunity to earn 30 percent of their potential P4P incentive within the all-cause readmission's domain. Hospitals will earn incentives for demonstrating favorable year-over-year improvements in their own 30-day all-cause readmission rate.

2021 P4P readmission rate performance

To continue to promote hospital and physician collaboration across the care continuum and align measurement reporting and incentives with CMS requirements, the 2021 P4P program will continue to use the NQF-endorsed hospital-wide all-cause unplanned readmission measure (HWR; NQF 1789) developed by Yale University and CMS.

P4P readmissions performance is assessed using only Blue Cross commercial membership claims (PPO, POS and Traditional products for Michigan adult residents ages 18 to 64).

Due to the adaptation of this measure to a commercially insured population, this measure **won't be risk standardized** according to CMS methodology. Additionally, readmission data used within the P4P isn't adjusted for variations in patient mix, market or geography. Consequently, a hospital's all-cause readmissions performance and earned incentive will be measured as each hospital's own year-over-year improvement, across a 2020 baseline period and 2021 measurement period or **as each hospital's own confidence interval as compared to the Michigan P4P participating hospital statewide average.**



Readmission scoring methodology

Introduced in the 2018 program, confidence intervals are a range of values so defined that there is a specified probability that the value of the parameter lies within it. On hospital compare, CMS calculates hospital-specific confidence intervals for the majority of its measures and compares them against a national rate. Similarly, the 2021 P4P program will calculate hospital-specific confidence intervals and compare them against the **Michigan P4P participating hospital statewide average**.

The more favorable methodology (current method versus confidence intervals) will be used for a hospital if any of the following conditions are met:

- Hospital shows improved readmission rate, regardless if rates are above P4P statewide average.
- Hospital 2021CY readmission rate is less than the P4P statewide average.
- Hospital is considered low volume (<250 IP discharges).

All other hospitals will continue to be scored based on the current year-over-year improvement method.

1. Year-over-year improvement (current method)

Year-over-year improvement (relative % change)	Points earned	Example baseline	Example performance
More favorable than -2.5% improvement	100%	10%	Less than 9.75%
Between +/-2.5%	50%	10%	9.75% to 10.25%
Less favorable than +2.5%	0%	10%	Greater than 10.25%

2. Confidence intervals (new method)

Confidence interval	Points earned
Entire confidence interval is less than P4P statewide average	100%
P4P statewide average falls within confidence interval	50%
Entire confidence interval is greater than the P4P statewide average	0%



Health information exchange

20%

The health information exchange component of the P4P program is designed to ensure caregivers have the data they need to effectively manage the care of their patients. The HIE component is focused on improving the quality of data transmitted through the Michigan Health Information Network statewide service, expanding the types of data available through the service and developing capabilities that will help facilitate statewide data exchange going forward.

In its January 2018 *Health Information Exchange Fact Sheet*, CMS states its expectation for HIE sender and receiver collaboration.² The intent is to promote data quality from the initiating provider so the receiving provider can incorporate the data into its patient-associated processes of care. If the receiver is unable to use the sender's data, then the receiver is unable to provide patients with appropriate and timely care. Blue Cross shares CMS' vision of promoting the transmission of quality data that can be effectively used by a patient's providers.

The table below summarizes the 2021 HIE measures and weights. Changes from the 2020 program are as follows:

- The overall weight of the HIE component has increased from 15 points to 20 points.
 - The weights of specific measures have changed accordingly, as shown in the table.
- Statewide labs transmission is now included.
 - For 2021 hospitals will be expected to send statewide lab results.
- Inclusion of the common key is embedded into conformance expectations for all data transmissions.
 - Previously, the Common Key Service was a separate measure.
- A CCD A transmission timeframe field has been added to Exchange CCD A conformance. The threshold will be determined and published in Q2 2021 for scoring in Q3 and Q4 2021.

Details on all the HIE measures can be found in Appendix E.

² https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Legislation/EHRIncentivePrograms/Downloads/HealthInformationExchange_2017.pdf (Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan and Blue Care Network don't own or control this website.)



Measure number	Measure description	Total points available	Points available by quarter			
			1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q
1	Maintain ADT data quality conformance with inclusion of the common key	7	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75
2	Maintain CCDA data conformance for inpatient, observation and ED visits	6	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50
3	Transmit all ambulatory CCDA data	2	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
4	Transmit all statewide lab result data	2	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
5	Participate in one or more HIE pilot projects	3	Point requirements and timelines will be determined by the specific pilot. Hospitals will receive clear and timely written communications regarding any pilot expectations.			

Performance scoring multiplier methodology

The table below displays how the CQI incentive pool is calculated, based on actual CQI performance and the redistribution of unearned CQI dollars. In this example, an overall CQI incentive pool of \$20 million is calculated based on the potential CQI incentive for each hospital, determined by individual CQI eligibility. The earned CQI incentive is then determined by multiplying each hospital’s actual CQI performance by its potential CQI incentive amount. The unearned dollars resulting from less than 100 percent CQI performance, which is \$2.6 million in this example, is then redistributed to hospitals by a scoring multiplier.

Continuing in 2021, before the unearned CQI incentive dollars are redistributed to hospitals, some of these unearned dollars will be used to give a bonus to hospitals that participate in *all* CQIs for which they have been recruited. This bonus is intended to recognize and reward hospitals for the additional work and resource commitment it takes to participate in all multiple CQI programs. The potential bonus amount for each hospital is based on the number of CQIs it is recruited to participate in, as follows:

CQI Count	Bonus Amount
1-4 CQIs	\$20,000
5-9 CQIs	\$50,000
≥10 CQIs	\$75,000

If a hospital drops out of a CQI, it is no longer eligible for this bonus. Similarly, if a hospital is recruited to join a CQI, regardless of whether or not it’s a required CQI, and chooses not to join, it will not receive the bonus.

The Anesthesiology Performance Improvement and Reporting Exchange (ASPIRE) CQI is exempt from being held to the bonus criteria due to the significant IT component of ASPIRE required to join. Hospitals who are unable to join ASPIRE when invited will not be penalized.

Hospital name	Collaborative Quality Initiatives (Fixed 40% of P4P incentive)							
	Potential CQI Incentive (fixed 40%)	CQI performance	Earned CQI incentive	CQI full-participation bonus	Unearned CQI incentive	Scoring Multiplier (hospital earned/ total earned)	Additional CQI incentive earned	Total earned CQI incentive (\$, %*)
Hospital A	\$100,000	95%	\$95,000			0.5%	\$13,404	\$108,404 108.4%
Hospital B	\$250,000	80%	\$200,000			1.1%	\$28,218	\$228,218 91.3%
Hospital C	\$350,000	78.57%	\$275,000	\$20,000		1.6%	\$38,800	\$333,800 95.4%
Hospital D	\$500,000	100%	\$500,000			2.9%	\$70,546	\$570,546 114.1%
Hospital E	\$750,000	93.33%	\$700,000			4.0%	\$98,764	\$798,764 106.5%
Hospital F	\$800,000	91.25%	\$730,000	\$50,000		4.2%	\$102,997	\$882,997 110.4%
Hospital G	\$1,500,000	60%	\$900,000			5.2%	\$126,983	\$1,026,983 68.5%
Hospital H	\$2,250,000	88.89%	\$2,000,000			11.5%	\$282,184	\$2,282,184 101.4%
Hospital I	\$3,500,000	100%	\$3,500,000			20.1%	\$493,822	\$3,993,822 114.1%
Hospital J	\$10,000,000	85%	\$8,500,000	\$75,000		48.9%	\$1,199,282	\$9,774,282 97.7%
Total	\$20,000,000		\$17,400,000	\$145,000	\$2,455,000		\$2,455,000	\$20,000,000 100.0%

APPENDIX B

Hospital CQI programs		Required CQI
CQI name	Description	Yes/No
Michigan Cardiovascular Consortium, or BMC2 *	Improve the quality of care and reduce health care costs for patients undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions, vascular surgery and carotid interventions by reducing complications and focusing on the appropriate use.	Yes
Michigan Bariatric Surgery Consortium, or MBSC *	Innovate the science and practice of metabolic and bariatric surgery through comprehensive, lifelong, patient-centered obesity care.	Yes
Michigan Emergency Department Improvement Collaborative, or MEDIC	Advance the science and delivery of emergency care for adult and pediatric patients across a diversity of emergency department settings.	No
Michigan Society of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgeons, or MSTCVS, Quality Collaborative*	Improve the quality of care for patients who undergo cardiac surgery, general thoracic surgical procedures, transcatheter valve replacements and perfusion practices.	Yes
Michigan Surgical Quality Collaborative, or MSQC	Develop and implement practical approaches to better outcomes and lower costs for patients undergoing general surgery by focusing on reducing venous thromboembolism, surgical site infections and implementing enhanced recovery programs.	Yes
Michigan Trauma Quality Improvement Project, or MTQIP	Improve the quality of care administered to trauma patients, while reducing the costs associated with trauma care.	Yes
Hospital Medicine Safety, or HMS, Consortium	Improve the quality of care for hospitalized medical patients who are at risk for adverse events.	Yes
Michigan Radiation Oncology Quality Consortium, or MROQC	Improve the quality of the radiation treatment experience for patients with breast or lung cancer by identifying best practices in radiation therapy that minimize the side effects that patients may experience from radiation treatment.	No
Michigan Arthroplasty Registry Collaborative for Quality Improvement, or MARCQI*	Engage hospitals and physicians in quality improvement activities for patients undergoing hip and knee joint replacement surgery procedures.	No
Michigan Anticoagulation Quality Improvement Initiative, or MAQI2	Improve the safety, quality of care and outcomes of patients requiring anticoagulation.	No
Michigan Spine Surgery Improvement Collaborative, or MSSIC*	Engages orthopedic surgeons and neurosurgeons with the aim of improving the quality of care of spine surgery, by improving patient care outcomes and increasing efficiency of treatment.	No
Anesthesiology Performance Improvement and Reporting Exchange, or ASPIRE	Integrate surgeon and anesthesiologist perspectives to assess variation in practice, identify best practices, and measure process adherence and patient outcomes to improve the quality of anesthesiology care.	No
Integrated Michigan Patient-centered Alliance on Care Transitions, or I-MPACT	Works with hospitals, providers, community service organizations, patients and families using standard practices and innovative processes to improve care transitions for patients.	No
OB Initiative (OBI)	Reduce cesarean deliveries for low-risk pregnancies.	Yes

*Participation associated with maintenance of Blue Distinction Center designation status

CQI scoring method

The tables in this appendix list the measures used to score hospital performance on each CQI. The measures within each index apply to a hospital only if it is eligible to participate in the corresponding CQI. Each CQI index is scored on a 100-point basis.

A hospital participating in multiple CQIs will have its index scores combined into one overall score. For example, assume the following:

Hospital A participates in 5 CQIs (for which it has been recruited and is eligible)

Its total CQI weight is 40 percent.

Its individual CQI weight is 8 percent – 5 CQI Programs

Its performance on CQI No. 1 is 80 percent.

Its performance on CQI No. 2 is 90 percent.

Its performance on CQI No. 3 is 100 percent.

Its performance on CQI No. 4 is 80 percent.

Its performance on CQI No. 5 is 90 percent.

Hospital A’s overall CQI score is calculated as follows:

	Index score		CQI weight		Earned score or potential score
CQI No. 1	80%	X	8%	=	6.40%
CQI No. 2	90%	X	8%	=	7.20%
CQI No. 3	100%	X	8%	=	8.00%
CQI No. 4	80%	X	8%	=	6.40%
CQI No. 5	90%	X	8%	=	7.20%
Total CQI aggregate score	88%		40%		35.20%

In this example, Hospital A earned a total CQI score of 35.20 percent out of a potential 40 percent. Hospital A left on the table approximately 4.80 percent of its potential maximum incentive reward tied to CQIs.

See Appendix A for a more detailed breakdown of how unearned CQI incentive dollars are distributed to hospitals within the CQI incentive pool based on a comparative CQI performance.

CQI performance index scorecards

The CQI performance index scorecards will be made available as a separate addendum to the 2021 Pay-for-Performance program guide in mid- to late-December 2020, as well as made available through each coordinating center.

All performance index measures and weights are established by the CQI coordinating centers. The weights and measures of a specific CQI index may be adjusted for newly participating hospitals. The coordinating center for each CQI will evaluate and score each hospital's performance index and submit the final aggregate score to Blue Cross.

The measurement period for each performance index measure is January through December, unless otherwise noted.

Specific questions and comments pertaining to the performance index measures should be directed to the respective CQI coordinating center. Contact information will be available in the performance index scorecard addendum to the 2021 P4P program guide.

General CQI participation requirements

General expectations that Blue Cross has for CQI site participants and affiliated clinicians are listed below. Each CQI also has developed distinct expectations for participation, which are made available by the respective CQI coordinating centers.

- Identify “physician champions” at participating sites who can affect change, collaborate in generating data for enhanced knowledge and analysis of processes and outcomes of care
- Identify an administrative contact at participating sites
- Thoroughly and accurately collect comprehensive data (i.e., no consistent pattern of errors or omissions regarding data elements) on patient cases, as specified by the coordinating center on all cases
- Submit data in a timely manner for entry into registry, in the format specified by the coordinating center
- Respond to queries from the coordinating center in a timely manner
- Cooperate with data quality audits conducted by the coordinating center
- Attend and participate in all collaborative meetings (either the physician champion, administrative project lead or an assigned designee who has the ability to impart QI within the organization)
- Participate in collaborative-wide QI activities or site-specific initiated QI activities related to the work of the CQI

- Demonstrate that comparative performance reports provided by the CQI are actively used in QI efforts
- Participate in inter-institutional QI activities (e.g., sharing best practices)
- Report on the effect of QI activities and provide examples of specific QI interventions to the coordinating center
- Obtain institutional approval for CQI data collection requirements, as specified by the coordinating center (i.e., Institutional Review Board approval)
- Maintain personnel to collect data
- Obtain signatures required for the site's data use agreement or business associate agreements, which are to be signed by the site's president or CEO or a site representative who holds sign-off authority for the hospital and in the case of the signed data use agreement, returned to the coordinating center
- Contribute data and information that could be used in academic publications

Hospital MVC calculations

Program year 2021

The following is an illustration of how the scoring system will be applied for program year 2021 for a fictitious hospital (Hospital A) selecting joint replacement and pneumonia. All dollar amounts provided below are for illustrative purposes only.

In 2021, Hospital A meets the quality requirement by performing above the 10th percentile on the mortality and related readmission measure for both conditions.

Hospital A's 30-day mean episode payments for joint replacement are outlined below:

Service line	Mean payments for baseline period	Mean Payments in 2020 Performance Year (2021 Program Year)
Joint replacement	\$16,393	\$16,871

Hospital A's 2021 improvement targets for joint replacement are shown below (see Page 9 or the target payment reduction methodology):

2021 year over year improvement targets	Points
\$16,393	1
\$16,295	2
\$16,197	3
\$16,099	4
\$16,001	5

As Hospital A's mean episode payment for joint replacement in 2021 exceeds its baseline period target, it doesn't earn any points for year-over-year **improvement**. However, because Hospital A's 2021-episode payment for joint replacement is well below its cohort's average episode payment for joint replacement, it's eligible for **achievement** points.

Hospital A's rank	Hospitals in cohort	Hospital A's percentile
3	20	85%

Hospital A will earn 4 points for absolute achievement because it is ranked between the 80th and 90th percentile.

2021 achievement rankings	Percentiles	Points
10/20	50th percentile	1
8/20	60th percentile	2
6/20	70th percentile	3
4/20	80th percentile	4
2/20	90th percentile	5

As stated on Page 10, a bonus point may be earned if all hospitals working on the same service line achieve a 5% or greater improvement in total episode costs and the hospital’s own performance didn’t decline from the baseline year. In this illustrative example, all hospitals in the state working on joint replacement reduced average episode costs by more than 5% (data not shown). However, since Hospital A’s 2021 joint replacement costs were higher than its baseline costs, it would not be eligible to earn an additional bonus point as part of its **collaboration** goal.

Hospital A’s improvement points	Hospital A’s achievement points	Hospital A’s bonus point	Total points for service line
0	4	0	4

For 2021, Hospital A will earn a total of four points for its joint replacement service line. Its 2021 pneumonia service line performance is scored separately using the same methodology. For the purpose of this example, assume that Hospital A scores just one point for its pneumonia service line. During 2021, Hospital A also attends both MVC semi-annual meetings and undertakes a site visit with the MVC Coordinating Center, meaning they are eligible for two participation-based bonus points. Therefore, for 2021, Hospital A is awarded a total of seven points for the MVC Component of the BCBSM P4P Program.

Health Information Exchange measures

HIE measures 1, 2 and 3: ADT, common key, exchange CCDA, and statewide lab results

The Blue Cross conformance standards are designed to continually improve the data that flows through the Michigan Health Information Network, ensuring it is complete and actionable when it's received by the practitioners using the information. Data quality conformance requirements are focused on four MiHIN use cases: ADT, Common Key Service, Exchange CCDA, and Statewide Lab Results.

In previous years, Blue Cross monitored hospital conformance. When a hospital fell out of conformance, Blue Cross sent a letter notifying the hospital it must resolve the issue within 30 days. This process will continue during the first quarter of 2021. But beginning in the second quarter of 2021, the process will change. Specifically, MiHIN will send notifications to hospitals if they have been out of conformance for more than 14 days. If the hospital is unable to regain conformance within 30 days of receiving the notice from MiHIN, it will lose points for that measure.

For each measure:

- A hospital will be considered in conformance if all fields are populated at or above the relevant threshold.
- A hospital will be considered out of conformance if one or more fields is not populated or formatted at the relevant threshold.
- A hospital will not earn points for any quarter in which it remains out of conformance following 30 days notification via the MiHIN conformance reports.
 - Notification will come from Blue Cross during the first quarter of 2021. Beginning second quarter 2021, the notification will come directly from MiHIN.
- For mapped fields, updated mapping tables must be submitted to MiHIN when changes occur.

Conformance thresholds apply to all inpatient, observation and ED visits. Specific conformance thresholds for each measure are outlined in the tables below.

Measure 1: Maintain ADT data quality conformance with inclusion of the common key – 7 points

Hospital will earn seven points for maintaining ADT data conformance. The following table shows the required ADT data fields and performance thresholds. Please note the following:

- Messages must meet and maintain the associated conformance threshold across all three categories; complete routing, complete mapping and adherence to coding standards.

In 2021, ADT conformance will include the common key attribute, which must be populated on 75% of notifications.

Measure 1 – ADT and CKS conformance thresholds – 7 points	
Group A: complete routing – messages must be populated with all the following fields	Threshold
PID-5.1: Patient Last Name	≥95%
PID-5.2: Patient First Name	≥95%
PID-7: Patient Date of Birth	≥95%
PID-11.5: Patient Zip	≥95%
PV1-19: Visit Number	≥95%
PV1-37: Discharged to Location	≥95%
PV1-44: Admit Date/Time	≥95%
PV1-45: Discharge Date/Time	≥95%
PID-29: Patient Death Date/Time	≥95%
PID-30: Patient Death Indicator	≥95%
IN1-3: Insurance Company ID	≥95%
IN1-4: Insurance Company Name	≥95%
PID-3.1 Common Key	≥75%
PID-3.5: Identifier Type (identifier tag)	≥75%
Group B: complete mapping – MiHIN mapping tables must be kept current for the following fields. *	Threshold
MSH-4.1: Sending Facility- Hospital OID	≥95%
PV1-36: Discharge Disposition	≥95%
PID-8: Patient Gender	≥95%
PID-10: Patient Race	≥95%
PID-22: Ethnic Group	≥95%
PV1-2: Patient Class (e.g., observation bed)	≥95%
PV1-4: Admission Type	≥95%
PV1-14: Admit Source	≥95%
DG1-6: Diagnosis Type	≥95%
PV1-10: Hospital Service	≥95%
Group C: adherence to coding standards – values must be sent using the standard indicated below *	Threshold
PV1-7.1: Attending Doctor ID (NPI)	≥95%
PV1-17.1: Admitting Doctor ID (NPI)	≥95%
DG1-3.1: Diagnosis Code ID (ICD10)	≥95%
DG1-3.2: Diagnosis Code Description	≥95%

*Group B and C fields must be populated on at least 95% of messages, as well as being correctly mapped or formatted.

Measure 2: Maintain CCDA data conformance for inpatient, observation and ED visits; and transmit all ambulatory CCDA data – 6 points

APPENDIX E

Hospitals will earn six points for maintaining CCDA data conformance for all inpatient, observation and ED visits. The following table shows the required CCDA data fields and performance thresholds required in 2021.

- There is one new field for 2021, CCDA transmission timeframe. CCDA messages should be sent within 24 hours of the visit. The threshold is currently under review and will be published in late December.
- There are several fields at the bottom of the table that do not have conformance thresholds in 2021. However, hospitals are expected to transmit these fields so the information can be analyzed for potential future conformance development.

Measure 2 – Exchange CCDA: conformance thresholds and ambulatory data transmission– 6 points	
CCDA – med rec relevant fields	Complete routing threshold
CCDA transmission timeframe	TBD
OID – object identifier	≥95%
Visit ID	≥95%
Patient Date of Birth	≥95%
Patient Gender	≥95%
Patient First Name	≥95%
Patient Last Name	≥95%
Patient Address	≥95%
Patient City	≥95%
Patient Zip Code	≥95%
Encounter Type	≥95%
Attending Provider First Name	≥95%
Attending Provider Last Name	≥95%
Attending Provider NPI	≥95%
Attending Provider Phone	≥95%
Admission Medications Present	≥95%
Discharge Medication Name	≥75%
Discharge Medication Begin Date	≥75%
Discharge Medication Dose Unit	≥75%
Discharge Medication Dose Quantity	≥75%
Discharge Medication Instructions	≥75%
Discharge Medication Code (RxNorm or NDC)	≥75%
Allergies	≥95%
Active Problems Present	≥95%
Chief Complaint	≥95%
Visit Diagnosis Code (ICD10)	≥95%
Visit Diagnosis Description	≥95%
Vital Signs	≥95%
Immunizations	≥50%
Results/Laboratory	≥95%

Patient SSN – when available	Not scored
Discharge Medication End Date	Not Scored
Discharge Medication Status	Not Scored
Advanced Directives	Not Scored
Discharge Instructions	Not Scored
Functional Status	Not Scored
Plan of Care	Not Scored
Procedures	Not Scored
Progress Notes	Not Scored
Reason for Referral	Not Scored
Social History	Not Scored
Tests Ordered	Not Scored

Measure 3: Transmit all ambulatory CCDA data – 2 points

Hospitals will earn two points by transmitting all ambulatory CCDA data for all patients. This includes outpatient hospital visits and office visits to physicians sharing the hospital’s EMR, both employed and non-employed. There are no conformance thresholds. Instead, hospitals will be scored only on whether they transmit the data. However, the data will be analyzed with the intent of developing conformance standards for future program years.

Measure 4: Transmit all statewide lab data – 2 points

Hospitals will earn two points for transmitting all statewide lab data. For the 2021 program year there will not be conformance thresholds however, the below table contains the fields that will be used for the 2022 conformance year. Thresholds for these fields will be considered using data sent in the 2021 program year.

Measure 4 – Transmit all Statewide Lab Result data – 2 points	
ORU message – lab result relevant fields	Routing threshold
MSH-10: Message Control ID	Not Scored for 2021
MSH-3.1: Sending Application Namespace ID	Not Scored for 2021
MSH-4.1: Sending Facility Namespace ID	Not Scored for 2021
MSH-4.2: Sending Facility Universal ID Date/Time of Message	Not Scored for 2021
MSH-9.2: Trigger Event	Not Scored for 2021
PID-10: Race	Not Scored for 2021
PID-11.1: Street Address	Not Scored for 2021
PID-11.5: ZIP	Not Scored for 2021
PID-13: Home Phone	Not Scored for 2021
PID-2: Patient ID	Not Scored for 2021
PID-22: Ethnic Group	Not Scored for 2021
PID-3.1: Patient Identifier Value	Not Scored for 2021
PID-5.1: Patient Family Name	Not Scored for 2021

APPENDIX E

PID-5.2: Patient Given Name	Not Scored for 2021
PID-7: DOB	Not Scored for 2021
PID-8: Gender	Not Scored for 2021
PV1-2: Patient Class	Not Scored for 2021
ORC-1: Order Control	Not Scored for 2021
ORC-12: Ordering Provider	Not Scored for 2021
ORC-3: Filler Order Number	Not Scored for 2021
OBR-1: Set ID - OBR	Not Scored for 2021
OBR-14: Date and Time Specimen Received	Not Scored for 2021
OBR-15: Specimen Source	Not Scored for 2021
OBR-16: Ordering Provider	Not Scored for 2021
OBR-22: Results Rpt/Status Chng - Date/Time	Not Scored for 2021
OBR-25: Result Status	Not Scored for 2021
OBR-3: Filler Order Number	Not Scored for 2021
OBR-32: Principal Result Interpreter	Not Scored for 2021
OBR-4: Universal Service Identifier	Not Scored for 2021
OBR-7: Observation Date/Time	Not Scored for 2021
TQ1-: Set ID	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-: Set ID - OBX	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-11: Observation Results Status	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-14: Date and Time of Observation	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-16: Responsible Observer	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-18: Instance Identifier	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-19: Date and Time of Analysis	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-2: Value Type	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-23: Performing Organization Name	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-24: Performing Organization Address	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-29: Observation Type	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-3: Observation Identifier	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-3: Observation Identifier	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-5: Observation Value	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-6: Units	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-7: Reference Ranges	Not Scored for 2021
OBX-8: Abnormal Flags	Not Scored for 2021
SPM-1: Set ID - SPM	Not Scored for 2021
SPM-2: Specimen ID	Not Scored for 2021
SPM-4: Specimen Type	Not Scored for 2021

Measure 5: participate in one or more HIE pilot projects – 3 points

Hospitals will earn three points by participating in selected pilot projects in collaboration with PGIP organizations. For example, some hospitals will be selected to participate in a PGIP pilot whereby a patient's physician uses ADT notifications to engage the hospital ED and avert unnecessary inpatient admissions.

Hospitals selected to participate in a pilot will be given clear expectations in writing at the time they are invited to participate. Hospitals that decline to participate or do not meet expectations for the pilot will forfeit points allocated to Measure 5. If a hospital is not selected to participate in a pilot, the three points for this measure will be reallocated to measures 1, 2 and 3, as follows:

- Measure 1 (ADT conformance) will be reweighted at 8 points, with 2.0 points earned in each quarter
- Measure 2 (CCDA conformance) will be reweighted at 7 points, with 1.75 points earned in each quarter.
- Measure 3 (ambulatory CCDA) will be reweighted at 3 points, with .75 points earned in each quarter.